

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/769,838	02/02/2004	William R. Dunn	73538-020501	9094
75	90 06/06/2006		EXAM	INER
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP			CHOWDHURY, TARIFUR RASHID	
ATTN: JOHN R. WAHL 2450 COLORADO AVENUE, SUITE 400E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	CA, CA 90404		2871	
			DATE MAILED: 06/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

₹	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/769,838	DUNN ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tarifur R. Chowdhury	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 04/20/06 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICA	ATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOV	VANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	• •	36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed <u>AMENDMENTS</u> 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 		mpliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	\ <u></u>	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 13,14 and 16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 17-20.			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
 REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered buseline Continuation Sheet. 	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to applicant's argument regarding the withdrawl of claims 17-20 being without basis, it is respectfully pointed out to applicant that the searching of additional claims 17-20 are infact distinct and would require additional search such as, an EMI layer, the thermal sensor being under the EMI layer and the thermal sensor providing certain volt change in bias potential as the liquid crystal temperature changes from -60 degrees to 100 degress and thus would be burdensome search to the examiner. Therefore, the restriction erquirement was proper and thus maintained. Further, it is also notified to applicant that if claim 13 is found to be allowable then claims 17-20 would be rejoined. In response to applicant's argument that the thermal sensor of Ohnishi is not integral to the TFT array layer, it is respectfully pointed out to applicant that as expalined before Ohnishi discloses col. 8, line 63 - col. 9, line 6; col. 12, line 54 - col. 13, line 2) that the thermal senmsor can be placed on the TFT substrate specialy at surface 1a and since the "TFT array layer" is considered as any layer that is between the substrate and the liquid crystal layer, the claimed limitation is met by Ohnishi. Therefore, applicant's argument is not persuasive and the rejection is maintained.

TÄRIFUR R. CHOWDHURY